This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713454007

27-Nor-triterpenoid glycosides from the barks of Zygophyllum fabago L.

Yu-Lin Feng^a; He-Ran Li^a; Li-Zhen Xu^a; Shi-Lin Yang^b ^a Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China ^b National Pharmaceutical Engineering Centre for Solid Preparation in Chinese Herbal Medicine, Nanchang, China

To cite this Article Feng, Yu-Lin , Li, He-Ran , Xu, Li-Zhen and Yang, Shi-Lin(2007) '27-Nor-triterpenoid glycosides from the barks of *Zygophyllum fabago* L.', Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 9: 6, 505 – 510 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/10286020600782157 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020600782157

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



27-Nor-triterpenoid glycosides from the barks of Zygophyllum fabago L.

YU-LIN FENG[†], HE-RAN LI[†], LI-ZHEN XU[†] and SHI-LIN YANG[‡]¶*

†Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100094, China

‡National Pharmaceutical Engineering Centre for Solid Preparation in Chinese Herbal Medicine, Nanchang 330006, China

¶Jiangxi College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanchang 330006, China

(Received 21 December 2005; in revised form 17 March 2006; in final form 5 April 2006)

From the bark of *Zygophyllum fabago* L., a new 27-nor-triterpenoid glycoside, 3-O- β -D-glucopyranosylpyrocincholate (1), together with five known compounds, 3-O-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate (2), quinovic acid (3), 3-O-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-quinovic acid (4), 3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- quinovic acid (5) and 3-O-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-cincholic acid (6), were isolated and their structures elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data. Compounds 1, 2 and 3 showed some antitumour activities by MTT assay.

Keywords: Zygophyllum fabago L; Zygophyllaceae; 27-Nor-triterpenoid glycoside; 3-*O*-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate; Anti-tumour activity

1. Introduction

Zygophyllum fabago L. belongs to the Zygophyllaceae family and is mainly distributed in the Gansu province and Xinjiang autonomous region of China. It is used as an antitussive, expectorant, and anti-inflammatory agent and for relief of pain [1]. In the present work, two 27-nor-triterpenoid glycosides, $3-O-\beta-D$ -glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate (1) and 3-O-6-deoxy- $\beta-D$ -glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate (2), which were shown to possess the uncommon aglycone that has been isolated only from *Adina rubella* [2], *Isertia haenkeana* [3] and *Mitragyna inermis* [4] so far, were isolated from the ethanolic extract of the barks of this plant, and 1 is a new compound. In addition, another four known compounds were isolated. Compounds 1, 2 and 3 were found to inhibit effectively the proliferation of the human Eca-109 cell line.

2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was isolated as white powder and gave positive results to Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. Its molecular formula was determined as $C_{35}H_{56}O_8$ by HRFAB-MS at m/z

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: yangshilin9705@hotmail.com

Y.-L. Feng et al.

 $627.3860 \text{ [M + Na]}^+$, corresponding to eight degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed six tertiary methyl groups (δ 1.33, 1.00, 0.97, 0.97, 0.94 and 0.93) and no olefinic proton resonances. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the aglycone showed 29 carbon signals including two quaternary olefinic carbons (δ 130.82 and 137.00) and one carboxyl carbon (δ 180.38), which showed a nor-triterpenoid skeleton. A comparison between the ¹³C NMR spectral data of 1 and inermiside II [4] revealed that the carbon signals of the aglycone of the two molecules were almost identical, suggesting the aglycone was pyrocincholic acid. Its 1 H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data (tables 1 and 2) showed that **1** has one sugar moiety. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** exhibited an anomeric proton of the sugar at δ 4.95 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz). The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed an anomeric carbon at δ 107.12, and other sugar carbons at δ 76.00, 78.51, 72.00, 78.95 and 63.20, which showed that the sugar was a β -glucose [5]. Acid hydrolysis of 1 gave glucose. The HMBC correlation between H-1^{\prime} of glucose at δ 4.95 and C-3 of the aglycone at δ 89.25 revealed that glucose was attached at C-3 of the aglycone. The HMBC spectrum (figure 2) showed the correlations for H-26/C-14, H-18/C-13, H-18/C-14 and H-18/C-28. The above structural elucidation of 1 was further supported by its ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ COSY, HMQC and HMBC data. From these results, the structure of 1 (figure 1) was established as 3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate.

Table 1. ¹³C NMR (100 Hz) spectral data of compound **1** in pyridine- d_5 .

Position	δ_C
1	38.51
2	26.87
3	89.25
4	39.74
5	55.81
6	18.87
7	39.74
8	38.09
9	56.52
10	37.29
11	18.22
12	32.32
13	130.82
14	137.00
15	21.32
16	24.32
17	45.38
18	40.01
19	41.85
20	30.96
21	34.74
22	31.90
23	28.33
24	16.87
25	16.75
26	20.92
27	_
28	180.38
29	32.64
30	25.27
1'	107.12
2'	76.00
3'	78.51
4'	72.00
5'	78.95
6'	63.20

Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 Hz) spectral data of compounds 1 and 2 in pyridine- d_5 .

Position	$\delta_H (J \text{ in } Hz)$	
	1	2
1	0.82 (<i>m</i>), 1.55 (<i>t</i> -like)	0.82 (<i>m</i>), 1.54 (<i>t</i> -like)
2	1.86 (overlap), 2.28 (overlap)	1.84 (overlap), 2.27 (overlap)
3	3.42 (<i>dd</i> , 4.4 Hz, 11.6 Hz)	3.40 (<i>dd</i> , 4.4 Hz, 11.6 Hz)
5	0.76 (br d, 11.6 Hz)	0.75 (br d, 11.6 Hz)
6	1.28 (overlap), 1.52 (overlap)	1.28 (overlap), 1.50 (overlap)
7	1.15 (overlap), 1.80 (overlap)	1.14 (overlap), 1.80 (overlap)
9	1.01 (<i>t</i> -like)	1.01 (<i>t</i> -like)
11	1.44 (overlap), 1.55 (overlap)	1.43 (overlap), 1.53 (overlap)
12	2.04 (overlap), 2.35 (overlap)	2.04 (overlap), 2.34 (overlap)
15	2.19 (overlap), 2.47 (m)	2.19 (overlap), 2.46 (m)
16	2.04 (<i>m</i>)	2.03 (m)
18	2.84 (dd, 4.0 Hz, 12.0 Hz)	2.84 (<i>dd</i> , 4.0 Hz, 12.0 Hz)
19	1.23 (overlap), 1.68 (<i>dd</i> -like)	1.23 (overlap), 1.67 (<i>dd</i> -like)
21	1.43 (m)	1.41 (<i>m</i>)
22	1.77 (<i>m</i>), 2.14 (overlap)	1.75 (<i>m</i>), 2.11 (overlap)
23	1.33 (s)	1.33(s)
24	0.97(s)	0.98(s)
25	0.73(s)	0.77(s)
26	0.97(s)	0.99(s)
29	0.94(s)	0.95(s)
30	1.00(s)	1.01(s)
1'	4.95 (d, 8.0 Hz)	4.87 (d, 7.6 Hz)
2'	4.04 (t, 8.4 Hz)	4.03 (t, 8.0 Hz)
3'	3.98 (m)	4.14 (t, 8.8 Hz)
4'	4.22 (overlap)	3.73 (t, 8.8 Hz)
5'	4.23 (overlap)	3.81 (<i>m</i>)
6'	4.57 (<i>dd</i> , 2.4 Hz, 12 Hz) 4.40 (<i>dd</i> , 5.2 Hz,11.6 Hz)	1.66 (<i>d</i> , 6.0 Hz)

Compound **2** was isolated as white powder. By comparison with the spectral data of inermiside II [4], the structure of **2** (figure 1) was concluded to be 3-*O*-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate. This is the first report of 27-nor-triterpenoid glycosides from the Zygophyllaceae family (figures 3 and 4).

In the case of bioactivities of compounds 1-6, anti-tumour activities of them were expressed as inhibition rate. As determined by MTT assay, the inhibition rates of compounds 1, 2 and 3 in 50 µg/ml for the human ECA-109 oesophageal carcinoma cell were 79.19, 80.27 and 54.10%, respectively. The results suggested that compounds 1, 2 and 3 can inhibit effectively the proliferation of the human ECA-109 cell.



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 2.

Y.-L. Feng et al.



Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations of compound 1.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Melting points were determined using a Fisher Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained in KBr disks on a Perkin–Elmer 983G spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on an INOVA 400 spectrometer. EI-MS, ESI-MS and HRFAB-MS were recorded on a Micromass ZabSpec spectrometer. TLC employed precoated Silica gel plates (5–7 μ m, Qingdao Haiyang). For column chromatography, silica gel (H, 200–300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang) and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia) were used.

3.2 Plant material

The barks of *Zygophyllum fabago* L. were collected from Wulumuqi, Xinjiang Autonomous Region of China in March 2004, and identified by Professor Guo-Qiang Li of the Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China, where a voucher sample has been deposited.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried, powdered barks (14 kg) of the plant material were extracted with 75% EtOH (each 65 L \times 3) under reflux. The resultant extract was combined and evaporated under reduced pressure to give concentrated extracts (1200 g). The latter was subsequently suspended in water and partitioned successively with CHCl₃, EtOAc and n-butanol. The n-butanol part (200 g) was subjected to column chromatography by a combination of D₁₀₁ macroporous resin, eluted gradiently with H₂O and EtOH. The fraction eluted with 75% EtOH (35 g) was subjected to a silica gel column with a CHCl₃/CH₃OH gradient system



Figure 3. Structures of compounds 3, 4 and 5.



Figure 4. Structure of compound 6.

(1:0–0:1), affording 20 fractions. Fraction 3 (2 g) was purified by repeated silica gel column chromatography [CHCl₃/CH₃OH (98:2–90:10)] to afford compound **3** (200 mg). Fractions 15–17 were combined (4 g) and separated on Sephadex LH-20 columns with CH₃OH. Subsequently, further purification on an ODS column with CH₃OH/H₂O (5:5–8:2) provided compounds **1** (80 mg), **2** (90 mg), **4** (66 mg) and **6** (115 mg). The fraction eluted with 50% EtOH (65 g) was subjected to a silica gel column with a CHCl₃/CH₃OH gradient system (1:0–0:1), affording 27 fractions. Fractions 19 and 20 were combined (3 g) and separated on Sephadex LH-20 columns with CH₃OH. Subsequently, further purification on an ODS column with CH₃OH (3 g) and separated on Sephadex LH-20 columns with CH₃OH. Subsequently, further purification on an ODS column with CH₃OH/H₂O (4:6–8:2) provided compound **5** (80 mg).

3.3.1 Compound 1 (3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate). White powder, mp 306–307°C (CH₃OH), $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 5.4$ (*c* 0.02, CH₃OH). Give positive results to the Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3442, 2944, 1701, 1633, 1459, 1385, 1077, 470. ESI-MS: *m*/*z* 627 [M + Na]⁺. HRFAB-MS *m*/*z*: 627.3860 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₅H₅₆O₈Na, 627.3873) ¹³C NMR and ¹H NMR spectral data, see tables 1 and 2.

3.3.2 Compound 2 (3-*O*-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-pyrocincholate). White powder, mp 242–243°C (CH₃OH). Give positive results to the Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. ESI-MS: m/z 611 [M + Na]⁺. ¹³C NMR spectral data are consistent with those in the literature [4].

3.3.3 Compound 3 (quinovic acid). White powder, give positive result to the Liebermann–Burchard test. ¹³C NMR spectral data are consistent with those in the literature [6].

3.3.4 Compound 4 (3-*O*-6-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-quinovic acid). White powder, give positive results to the Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. ¹³C NMR and ¹H NMR spectral data are consistent with those in the literature [7].

3.3.5 Compound 5 (3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-quinovic acid). White powder, give positive results to the Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. ¹³C NMR spectral data are consistent with those in the literature [8].

3.3.6 Compound 6 (**3**-*O*-**6**-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-cincholic acid). White powder, give positive results to the Liebermann–Burchard and Molish tests. ¹³C NMR spectral data are consistent with those in the literature [9].

Y.-L. Feng et al.

3.4 Acid hydrolysis of compound 1

Compound 1 was applied on silica gel G HPTLC plates and left in an HCl atmosphere at 75°C for 5 h. HCl vapour was eliminated under hot ventilation and authentic sugar was then applied to the plates. The chromatoplates were developed using EtOAc/CH₃OH/HOAc/H₂O (12:3:3:2) and CHCl₃/CH₃OH/H₂O (7:3:0.4) successively, and spots were detected by spraying with EtOH/conc.H₂SO₄/anisaldehyde (17:2:1) followed by heating. The sugar was identified: D-glucose [10].

3.5 Anti-tumour bioassays

To evaluate the anti-proliferative effect of compounds on the human ECA-109 oesophageal carcinoma cell lines, the MTT colorimetric assay was performed. The amount of formazan was determined by photometer at 570 nm. Cells were plated into 96-well flat-bottomed cultured plates at a concentration 5×10^4 cells per well in complete RPMI 1640 culture medium. Seventy-two hours after plating, the medium containing foetal calf serum was removed and test solutions were given to cells in various final concentrations such as 1 and 50 µg/ml. After incubation with drugs for 24 h, MTT solution was added to the wells and plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Results were expressed as percentage of the absorbance in control cells compared to that in the drug-treated cells.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to Professor Pu-Zhu Cong for his helpful suggestions for the interpretation of mass spectra, to Mr Qiu-Ping Ding for obtaining the 400 MHz oneand two-dimensional NMR data, and to Mr Jiu-Ming He for recording the ESI-MS and HRFAB-MS.

References

- Jiangsu Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Kunming Institute of Botany, Xinhua Bencao Gangyao (Shanghai Science and Technology Press: Shanghai), 1, p. 272 (1988).
- [2] Z.S. Fang, Z.S. He, J.H. Gao, P. Wang. Phytochemistry, 42, 1391 (1996).
- [3] A. Rumbero-Sanchez, P. Vazquez. Phytochemistry, 30, 623 (1991).
- [4] Z.H. Cheng, B.Y. Yu, X.W. Yang. *Phytochemistry*, **61**, 379 (2002).
- [5] K. Bock, C. Pedersen. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 41, 27 (1983).
- [6] M.H.A. Elgamal, K.H. Shaker, K. Pollmann, K. Seifert. Phytochemistry, 40, 1233 (1995).
- [7] A.A. Attia. Pharmazie, 54, 931 (1999).
- [8] K.P.S. Gagel, M.H.A. Elgamal, K.H. Shaker, K. Seifert. Phytochemistry, 44, 485 (1983).
- [9] A.R. Sanchez, P. Vazquez. *Phytochemistry*, **30**, 623 (1991).
- [10] P.P. Zhao, B.M. Li, L.Y. He. Acta Pharm. Sin., 22, 70 (1987).